Appl. No. 10/626,010 Amdt. dated March 16, 2007 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2656

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This Amendment is in response to the Final Office Action mailed December 18, 2006. Claims 1-20 were pending in the present application. This Amendment amends claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, and 20; and cancels claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 12, 15, and 18; leaving pending in the application claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, and 20. Reconsideration of the rejected claims is respectfully requested.

## I. Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and if the other objections to the claims are addressed. It is respectfully submitted that each of these claims has been re-written in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and that the claims as amended overcome the objections as discussed below, such that each of these claims is now in condition for allowance. It is further submitted that the removal of the limitation that was rejected as constituting new matter should not affect the allowability of these claims, as that limitation was not cited as determining the allowability of these claims (OA p. 6). Further, such claims were held to be allowable in the previous Office Action before the addition of such limitations.

## II. Objection to the Claims and Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-20 are objected to as adding new matter to the specification for reciting the limitation of the track reproduction signal being "recorded by an irradiated laser beam" (OA p. 2). These claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for reciting the same limitation, which is rejected as not being described in the specification in a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as amended no longer recite such limitations, such that these rejections are now moot.

Appl. No. 10/626,010 Amdt. dated March 16, 2007 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure **Examining Group 2656** 

III. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 12, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Tetsushi (JPO 11-045514), and alternatively being anticipated by Bokui (US 6,674,330). Although Applicants do not agree with the rejections, these claims have been canceled from the present application in order to expedite issuance of the allowable subject matter. Applicants reserve the right to present these or similar claims in a continuing

application. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the rejections are now moot.

IV. Amendment to the Claims

Unless otherwise specified, amendments to the claims are made for purposes of clarity, and are not intended to alter the scope of the claims or limit any equivalents thereof. The

amendments are supported by the specification and do not add new matter.

**CONCLUSION** 

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance and an action to that end is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 925-472-5000.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 48,163

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 925-472-5000 Fax: 415-576-0300

JDL/slh 60998759 v1